

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/686,582		KOMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss		2827	

All Participants:

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) John Romary.

Date of Interview: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

double patenting

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,677,614

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Notice of allowance is forthcoming.

(3) Qingyu Yin.

(4) _____

Time: _____



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest cancelling claim 10 to place the instant application in condition for allowance and to prevent a double patenting rejection with the US 6,677,614. The Mr. Yin received authorization from the client to cancel claim 10. Mr. Romary gave the examiner authorization to cancel claim 10 by examiner's amendment. Therefore, a notice of allowance is forthcoming.